arXiv:1703.09413v1 [math.RA] 28 Mar 2017

A NOTE ON SPECIES REALIZATIONS AND NONDEGENERACY OF POTENTIALS

DANIEL LÓPEZ-AGUAYO

Abstract. In this note we show that over uncountable fields a certain class of skew-symmetrizable matrices have a species realization admitting a nondegenerate potential. This gives a partial affirmative answer to a question raised by Daniel Labardini-Fragoso and Jan Geuenich. We also provide an example of a class of skew-symmetrizable 4×4 integer matrices that have a species realization via field extensions of the rationals.

1. Introduction

In [6, p.14] D. Labardini-Fragoso and J. Geuenich raise the following question:

Question [6, Question 2.23] Can a mutation theory of species with potential be defined so that every skew-symmetrizable matrix B have a species realization which admit a nondegenerate potential?

In [1] we show that for every skew-symmetrizable matrix $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j}$ that admits a skew-symmetrizer $D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ with the property that each of the d_j divides each and every element b_{ij}, then for every finite sequence $k_1, \ldots, k_l$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ there exists a species realization of B such that all the iterated mutations $\bar{\mu}_{k_1} P$, $\bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1} P, \ldots, \bar{\mu}_{k_l} \cdots \bar{\mu}_{k_1} P$ are 2-acyclic.

In [7] D. Labardini-Fragoso gives a partially positive answer to Question 2.23 provided the skew-symmetrizer has pairwise coprime diagonal entries. We remark that this is a stronger condition than the one we impose in [1]. Indeed, since DB is skew-symmetric then $-d_j b_{ji} = d_i b_{ij}$. Using the fact that b_{ji} is an integer and $(d_i, d_j) = 1$, it follows that d_j divides b_{ij}, as claimed.

In Proposition 1 we give a partially affirmative answer to Question 2.23 by proving the following: if the underlying field is uncountable, then the species realization given in [1] admits a nondegenerate potential.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. Let F be a field and let $D_1, \ldots, D_n$ be division rings, containing F in its center, and each of them is finite-dimensional over F. Let $S = \prod_{i=1}^{n} D_i$ and let

M be an S-bimodule of finite dimension over F. Define the *algebra of formal power series* over M as the set:

$$\mathcal{F}_S(M) := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a(i) : a(i) \in M^{\otimes i} \right\}$$

where $M^0 = S$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is an associative unital F-algebra where the product is the one obtained by extending the product of the tensor algebra $T_S(M) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M^{\otimes i}$ to $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$.

Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of S.

Definition 2. An element $m \in M$ is *legible* if $m = e_i m e_j$ for some idempotents e_i, e_j of S.

Definition 3. Let $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F e_i \subseteq S$. We say that M is *Z-freely generated* by a Z-subbimodule M_0 of M if the map $\mu_M : S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \to M$ given by $\mu(s_1 \otimes m \otimes s_2) = s_1 m s_2$ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules. In this case we say that M is an S-bimodule which is Z-freely generated.

Throughout this paper we will assume that M is Z-freely generated by M_0.

Let T be a Z-local basis of M_0 and L be a Z-local basis of S. The former means that for each pair of distinct idempotents e_i, e_j of S, $T \cap e_i M e_j$ is an F-basis of $e_i M_0 e_j$ and the latter means that $L(i) = L \cap e_i S$ is an F-basis of the division algebra $e_i S = D_i$.

Definition 4. Given an S-bimodule N we define the *cyclic part* of N as $N_{cyc} := \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j N e_j$.

Definition 5. A *potential* P is an element of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$.

For each legible element a of $e_i M e_j$, we let $\sigma(a) = i$ and $\tau(a) = j$. We will assume that each basis $L(i)$ satisfies that $\mathrm{char}(F) \nmid \mathrm{card}\, L(i)$.

Let k be an integer in $[1, n]$. Using the S-bimodule M, we define a new S-bimodule $\mu_k M = \widetilde{M}$ as:

$$\widetilde{M} := \bar{e}_k M \bar{e}_k \oplus M e_k M \oplus (e_k M)^* \oplus^* (M e_k)$$

where $\bar{e}_k = 1 - e_k$, $(e_k M)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_S((e_k M)_S, S_S)$, and ${}^*(M e_k) = \mathrm{Hom}_S({}_S(M e_k), {}_S S)$. One can show (cf. [1, Lemma 8.7]) that $\mu_k M$ is Z-freely generated.

Definition 6. An algebra with potential is a pair $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ where P is a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and $M_{cyc} = 0$.

Definition 7. Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $e_k P e_k = 0$. We define:

$$\mu_k P := [P] + \sum_{sa \in {}_k\hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} [btsa]((sa)^*)(^*(bt))$$

where:

$${}_k\hat{T} = \{sa : s \in L(k), a \in T \cap e_k M\}$$
$$\tilde{T}_k = \{bt : b \in T \cap M e_k, t \in L(k)\}.$$

Definition 8. Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then $R(P)$ is the closure of the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ generated by all the elements $X_{a^*}(P) = \sum_{s \in L(\sigma(a))} (sa)^*_*(\delta(P))s$, $a \in T$.

3. Nondegenerate potentials over uncountable fields

Throughout this section, $F[Z_x]_{x \in B(T)}$ denotes the free commutative F-algebra on the set $B(T)$.

For every integer $m \geq 2$, let $B(T)_m$ be the F-basis of $(M^{\otimes m})_{cyc}$ consisting of all elements of the form $x = t_1(x)a_1(x)t_2(x)\cdots t_m(x)a_m(x)t_{m+1}(x)$ where $t_i(x) \in L(\sigma(a_i(x)))$, $t_{m+1}(x) \in L(\tau(a_m(x)))$, $a_i(x) \in T$.

Let $B(T) = \bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} B(T)_m$. If $B(T)$ is non-empty, then $B(T)$ is clearly countable. This gives the following

Lemma 1. *There exists an isomorphism of F-algebras: $F[Z_x]_{x \in B(T)} \to F[t_1, t_2, \ldots]$ where $F[t_1, t_2, \ldots]$ is the polynomial ring in infinitely many variables.*

Proof. Choose a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \to B(T)$. For each $x \in B(T)$, there exists a unique natural number n_x such that $f(n_x) = x$. Now consider the set-theoretic map $\{Z_x : x \in B(T)\} \to F[t_1, t_2, \ldots]$ given by $Z_x \mapsto t_{n_x}$. By the universal property of the free commutative F-algebra on $B(T)$, there exists a unique F-algebra map $F[Z_x]_{x \in B(T)} \to F[t_1, t_2, \ldots]$ which is an isomorphism because f is a bijection. □

Definition 9. Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. We say that P is 2-acyclic if no element of $(M^{\otimes 2})_{cyc}$ appears in the expansion of P.

The following definition is motivated by [5, Definition 7.2].

Definition 10. Let $k_1, \ldots, k_l$ be a finite sequence of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $k_p \neq k_{p+1}$ for $p = 1, \ldots, l-1$. We say that an algebra with potential $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ is $(k_l, \ldots, k_1)$-nondegenerate if all the iterated mutations $\bar{\mu}_{k_1}P$, $\bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1}P, \ldots, \bar{\mu}_{k_l}\cdots\bar{\mu}_{k_1}P$ are 2-acyclic. We say that $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ is nondegenerate if it is $(k_l, \ldots, k_1)$-nondegenerate for every sequence of integers as above.

Definition 11. If $T \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B(T)}$ we define $Z(T) := \{f \in F^{B(T)} : T(f(x))_{x \in B(T)} \neq 0\}$ where $F^{B(T)}$ is the F-vector space of all functions $B(T) \to F$.

Proposition 1. *Suppose that the underlying field F is uncountable, then $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ admits a nondegenerate potential.*

Proof. Let $k_1, \ldots, k_l$ be a sequence as in Definition 10. By [1, Proposition 12.5] there exists a potential $P \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that P is $(k_l, \ldots, k_1)$-nondegenerate. Now using [1, Proposition 12.3] we can find a nonzero polynomial $T_{(k_1,\ldots,k_l)} \in F[Z_x]_{x\in B(T)}$ such that every potential belonging to $Z(T_{(k_1,\ldots,k_l)})$, is $(k_l, \ldots, k_1)$-nondegenerate. This collection $\mathcal{A}$ is a subset of $F[Z_x]_{x\in B(T)}$. Moreover, it is a countable family since it is indexed by a subset of all finite sequences of $\mathbb{N}$, and the latter is clearly countable. Now we adapt the proof of [5, Corollary 7.4]. It remains to show that $\bigcap_{A\in\mathcal{A}} Z(A) \neq \emptyset$. Note that $B(T)$ is countable; hence by Lemma 1, we may realize the polynomial ring $F[Z_x]_{x\in B(T)}$ as the polynomial ring $F[t_1, t_2, \ldots]$. As in [5, Corollary 7.4], since F is uncountable we can find $\lambda_1 \in F$ such that $F(\lambda_1) \neq 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{A} \cap F[t_1]$. Then, we can find $\lambda_2 \in F$ such that $F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{A} \cap F[t_1, t_2]$. Repeating this process, we can find a sequence $(\lambda_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of elements of F such that $F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots) \neq 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that we can guarantee this by the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ can be written as the union of the factors that appear in the following ascending chain:

$$\mathcal{A} \cap F[t_1] \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap F[t_1, t_2] \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap F[t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots] \subseteq \ldots$$

This completes the proof. □

4. Mutation acyclicity and nondegeneracy

The following definition is taken from [1] (cf. Definition 43).

Definition 12. Let $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ be an algebra with potential. The deformation space $\mathrm{Def}(M, P)$ is the quotient $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}/(R(P) + [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)])$ where $[\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$ denotes the commutator of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$.

Definition 13. An algebra with potential $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ is rigid if the deformation space $\mathrm{Def}(M, P)$ is zero.

Lemma 2. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_S(M'), Q)$ be right-equivalent algebras with potentials. Then $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ is rigid if and only if $(\mathcal{F}_S(M'), Q)$ is rigid.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ be an algebra with $\varphi|_S = id_S$. By [1, Theorem 5.3] we have $\varphi(R(P)) = R(\varphi(P))$ and by continuity of φ it follows that $\varphi([\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]) \subseteq [\mathcal{F}_S(M'), \mathcal{F}_S(M')]$. This implies that φ induces a surjection: $\psi : \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}/(R(P) + [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}_S(M')^{\geq 1}/(R(Q) + [\mathcal{F}_S(M'), \mathcal{F}_S(M')])$, which in fact is an isomorphism because φ is. The claim follows. □

Lemma 3. *An algebra with potential $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ is rigid if and only if the mutated algebra $(\mathcal{F}_S(\bar{\mu}_k M), \bar{\mu}_k P)$ is rigid.*

Proof. This is the statement of [1, Proposition 10.1]. □

Lemma 4. *Let P be a reduced potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and let k_1, k_2 be distinct integers in $[1,n]$ such that $\mathcal{F}_S(\bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1}M)_{cyc} = 0$. Then P is a nondegenerate potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$.*

Proof. Due to the fact that there are no potentials in $\mathcal{F}_S(\bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1}M)$ it follows that $\bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1}P = 0$. Since mutation at k_2 preserves rigidity and mutation is an involution (cf. [1, Theorem 8.12]), Lemma 2 yields that $(\mathcal{F}_S(\bar{\mu}_{k_1}M), \bar{\mu}_{k_1}P)$ is also rigid. Applying the same argument with k_1 one gets that $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ is a rigid and reduced algebra; therefore P is nondegenerate. □

An induction gives the following

Proposition 2. *Let $k_1, \ldots, k_l$ be a finite sequence of integers of $[1,n]$ such that $k_i \neq k_{i+1}$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, l-1$. Let P be a reduced potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_S(\bar{\mu}_{k_l} \ldots \bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1}M)_{cyc} = 0$. Then P is a nondegenerate potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$.*

In particular this recovers the following well-known result: Let P be a potential in Q, where Q is a finite quiver. If the underlying quiver of (Q,P) is mutation equivalent to an acyclic quiver, then (Q,P) is nondegenerate.

5. A species realization for a certain class of 4×4 skew symmetrizable matrices

In this section we show that a certain class of skew-symmetrizable 4×4 integer matrices admit a species realization using certain field extensions of the rational numbers; moreover, we show that each of these extensions satisfy 5.1.

We begin by recalling the definition of *species realization* of a skew-symmetrizable integer matrix, in the sense of [6] (cf. Definition 2.22).

Definition 14. Let $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n\times n}$ be a skew-symmetrizable matrix, and let $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. A species realization of B is a pair $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{M})$ such that:

(1) $\mathbf{S} = (F_i)_{i\in I}$ is a tuple of division rings;
(2) $\mathbf{M}$ is a tuple consisting of an $F_i - F_j$ bimodule M_{ij} for each pair $(i,j) \in I^2$ such that $b_{ij} > 0$;
(3) for every pair $(i,j) \in I^2$ such that $b_{ij} > 0$, there are $F_j - F_i$-bimodule isomorphisms
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{F_i}(M_{ij}, F_i) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{F_j}(M_{ij}, F_j);$$
(4) for every pair $(i,j) \in I^2$ such that $b_{ij} > 0$ we have $\dim_{F_i}(M_{ij}) = b_{ij}$ and $\dim_{F_j}(M_{ij}) = -b_{ji}$.

In [1, p.29] we impose the following condition on each of the bases $L(i)$. For each $s, t \in L(i)$:

$$e_i^*(st^{-1}) \neq 0 \text{ implies } s = t \text{ and } e_i^*(s^{-1}t) \neq 0 \text{ implies } s = t \tag{5.1}$$

where $e_i^* : D_i \to F$ denotes the standard dual map corresponding to the basis element $e_i \in L(i)$.

Definition 15. Let E/F be a finite field extension. An F-basis of E, as a vector space, is said to be semi-multiplicative if the product of any two elements of the basis is an F-multiple of another basis element.

It can be shown that every extension E/F which has a semi-multiplicative basis satisfies 5.1.

Definition 16. A field extension E/F is called a simple radical extension if $E = F(a)$ for some $a \in E$, with $a^n \in F$ for some integer $n \geq 2$.

Note that if E/F is a simple radical extension then E has a semi-multiplicative F-basis. Indeed: if $F(\alpha)/F$ is a simple radical extension, then $F(\alpha)/F$ is a finite extension with F-basis $\{1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{m-1}\}$ where m is the degree of the minimal polynomial of α over F.

Definition 17. A field extension E/F is a radical extension if there exists a tower of fields $F = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq F_l = E$ such that F_i/F_{i-1} is a simple radical extension for $i = 1, \ldots, l$.

In what follows, let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a & 0 & b \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & -b \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where a, b are positive integers. Note that B is skew-symmetrizable since it admits $D = \operatorname{diag}(1, a, 1, b)$ as a skew-symmetrizer.

Proposition 3. *Let $n, m \geq 2$ be integers. If $D = \operatorname{diag}(1, n, 1, n^m)$ then the matrix B admits a species realization $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{M})$ where $\mathbf{M}$ is a Z-freely generated S-bimodule and S satisfies 5.1.*

Proof. To prove this we will require the following result (cf. [8, Theorem 14.3.2]).

Lemma 5. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, let $p_1, \ldots, p_m$ be distinct primes, and $\mathbf{Q}$ denote the set of all rational numbers. Let ζ_n be a primitive nth-root of unity. Then:*

$$[\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)(\sqrt[n]{p_1}, \ldots, \sqrt[n]{p_m}) : \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)] = n^m$$

Now we continue with the proof of Proposition 3. Consider $F = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)$ as base field. Let p_1 be an arbitrary prime, then by Lemma 5, $F_2 := F(\sqrt[n]{p_1})/F$ has degree n. Now choose $m-1$ distinct primes $p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_m$ and also distinct from p_1. Define $F_4 := F(\sqrt[n]{p_1}, \sqrt[n]{p_2}, \ldots, \sqrt[n]{p_m})/F$, then by Lemma 5, F_4 has degree n^m.

Let $S = F \oplus F_2 \oplus F \oplus F_4$ and $Z = F \oplus F \oplus F \oplus F$. Since $F/\mathbf{Q}$ is a simple radical extension then it has a semi-multiplicative basis and thus it satisfies 5.1. On the other hand, note that $F_2/\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)$ and $F_4/\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)$ are radical extensions and every $x = \sqrt[n]{p}$ satisfies $x^n = p \in \mathbf{Q}$ for each prime p. Using [1, Remark 6, p.29] we get that

both F_2 and F_4 satisfy 5.1 and hence it is always possible to choose a Z-local basis of S satisfying 5.1. Finally, for each $b_{ij} > 0$ we define $e_iMe_j = (F_i \otimes_F F_j)^{b_{ij}/d_j} = F_i \otimes_F F_j$. Then it follows that $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{M})$ is a species realization of B in the sense of Definition 14.

□

References

[1] R.Bautista, D.López-Aguayo, *Potentials for some tensor algebras*. arXiv:1506.05880.

[2] R.Bautista, D.López-Aguayo, *Tensor algebras and decorated representations*. arXiv:1606.01974.

[3] R.Bautista, L. Salmerón, R. Zuazua, *Differential tensor algebras and their module categories*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 362. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2009).

[4] C. Geiß, D. Labardini-Fragoso, J.Schröer, *The representation type of Jacobian algebras*. Advances in Mathematics. (2016), no.290, 364-452. arXiv:1308.0478.

[5] H.Derksen, J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations I: Mutations*. Selecta Math. **14** (2008), no. 1, 59-119. arXiv:0704.0649.

[6] J.Geuenich, D. Labardini-Fragoso, *Species with potential arising from surfaces with orbifold points of order 2, Part I: one choice of weights*. arXiv:1507.04304.

[7] D.Labardini-Fragoso, A. Zelevinsky, *Strongly primitive species with potentials I: Mutations*. Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana (Third series), Vol. **22**, (2016), Issue 1, 47-115. arXiv:1306.3495.

[8] S.Roman, *Field Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 158. Springer-Verlag New York, 2006.

Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, Campus Hidalgo, Mexico.

E-mail address: dlopez.aguayo@itesm.mx